UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF SOUTH DAKOTA SOUTHERN DIVISION



UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

CR. 06-40055

Plaintiff,

VS.

.

REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION ON DEFENDANT'S CHANGE OF PLEA

JESUS MANUEL PEREZ-GONZALEZ, a/k/a Enrique Martinez-Lopez.

*

Defendant.

This matter came before the court for a change of plea hearing on Tuesday, January 16, 2007.

The Defendant, appeared in person and by his counsel, Assistant Federal Public Defender Bill Delaney, while the United States appeared by its Assistant United States Attorney, Jeff Clapper.

The defendant consented in open court to the change of plea before a United States magistrate judge. This court finds that the defendant's consent was voluntary and upon the advice of counsel. The government also consented to the plea hearing before a magistrate judge.

Defendant filed a petition to plead guilty to the Indictment. Defendant is charged with Illegal Reentry After Deportation in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). At the hearing, the defendant was advised of the nature of the charges to which the defendant would plead guilty and the maximum penalties applicable, specifically: 2 years imprisonment; a \$250,000 fine; or both; 1 year supervised release; 1 additional year imprisonment if supervised release is revoked; and a \$100 special assessment.

Upon questioning the defendant personally in open court, it is the finding of the court that the defendant is fully competent and capable of entering an informed plea, that the defendant is

aware of the nature of the charges and the consequences of the plea, and that his plea of guilty to the

Indictment is a knowing and voluntary plea supported by an independent basis in fact containing

each of the essential elements of the offense. It is, therefore, my report and recommendation that the

guilty plea to the Indictment be accepted and the defendant be adjudged guilty of that offense.

Further, the defendant admitted his true name is Enrique Martinez-Lopez.

NOTICE TO PARTIES

The parties have ten (10) days after service of this Report and Recommendation to file

written objections pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), unless an extension of time for good cause is

obtained. Failure to file timely objections will result in the waiver of the right to appeal questions

of fact. Objections must be timely and specific in order to require de novo review by the District

Court. Thompson v. Nix, 897 F.2d 356 (8th Cir. 1990); Nash v. Black, 781 F.2d 665 (8th Cir. 1986).

Dated this <u>//w</u>day of January, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

John 🗜 Simko

United States Magistrate Judge

ATTEST:

JOSEPH HAAS, Clerk

(CEAL)

Deputy

2